HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 11472/2025

1. Village Panchayat Kishan Ghaat, Through Its Administrator Kalyana Ram S/o Rupa Ram Mali, Aged About 52 Years Kishan Ghaat, Amar Sagar, panchayat Samiti- Jaisalmer, Rajasthan-345001
2. Village Panchayat Amarsagar, Through Its Administrator Bhagwan Singh Parihar S/o Bhopala Ram, Aged About 45 Years Purana Bass, Amar Sagar, Panchayat Samiti-Jaisalmer, Rajasthan-345001

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through The Principal Secretary To Government, Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. Local Self Government Department, Jaipur, Through Deputy Secretary Jaipur, Rajasthan
3. The Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Jaisalmer, Distt. Jaisalmer.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr.Ravi Kumar Palsaniya
For Respondent(s) :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Order

31/07/2025

1. Learned counsel for the parties are ad-idem that the issue involved in the present petition is squarely covered by the decision of this Court dated 30.07.2025 in S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 6926/2025 **(Chandra Prakash Meena Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors.)** and other connected writ petitions, wherein following order was passed:-

"1. These petitions are decided by common order as the facts and issues involved are similar. For the sake of convenience, the facts are being taken from SBCWP No.6926/2025.

2. This petition is filed by the Administrator challenging order dated 22.04.2025 of the Development Officer.

3. By impugned order payments to be made from bank accounts of the Gram Panchayat were restrained consequent to pending enquiries into the complaints received.

4. A specific query was raised from the counsel for the petitioner that the accounts relate to Gram Panchayat and Gram Panchayat is not before this Court. Further that there is no resolution or authorization in favour of the petitioner to file writ petition on behalf of Gram Panchayat.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that since the bank account is to be operated by the Administrator therefore, the petition can be filed by the Administrator.

6. The argument raised deserves to be rejected for the following reasons:-

i. As per the order, there are complaints received of financial irregularities which are being enquired into and as interim arrangement to protect the interestsof the gram panchayat, the payments have been stopped.

ii. The funds belong to the gram panchayat and Administrator is authorised to operate the account having been appointed as a stop-gap arrangement till the elections are

conducted and cannot raise a grievance independent of the gram panchayat for seeking quashing of the order.

iii. There is no resolution or authorization by Gram Panchayat in favour of the petitioners to file writ.

7. The writ petitions are dismissed as not maintainable due to lack of locus standi and in absence of any authorization or resolution by the Gram panchayat in favour of the petitioner to file this petition."

2. In view of the above, the writ petition is disposed of in the same terms.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN),J

Monika/20