HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 11895/2026
CNR: RJHC020710122026 | URN: CRLMB / 21905U / 2026

Mahaveer Prasad S/o Ramkhiladi, Aged About 29 Years, Jr/o Village Bhajeda, Police Station Rajgarh, District Alwra, Raj. (Presently Accused Confined In Sub Jail, Kishangarhbas).

----Petitioner

Versus

State of Rajasthan, through PP

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Kapil Gupta
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Devi Singh, Public Prosecutor

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GANESH RAM MEENA

Order

31/07/2026

1. The instant bail application has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 on behalf of accused-petitioner in connection with FIR No.116/2021 registered at Police Station Kotkasim, District Bhiwadi for the offences under Sections 395 and 364-A IPC.

2. Heard.

3. Considered the submissions made by counsel for the petitioner as well as learned Public Prosecutor and also perused the material made available on record.

4. As per the contents of the FIR, there is allegation of demand of ransom after the complainant being taken to hotel. In the FIR, the complainant has named one person, namely, Parmanand S/o Mangal being the part of the alleged offence who took the complainant to the hotel for ransom.

5. It has been brought to the notice of this Court that as per the FIR, the petitioner has not been named in the same. It has also been brought to the notice of this Court that the named person, namely, Parmanand was subjected to full fledged trial and thereafter, he has been acquitted from the criminal charges vide judgment and order of acquittal dated 09.10.2025 in Sessions Case No.39/2022 (CIS No.39/2022) by the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge No.1, Kishangarhbas, District Khairthal-Tizara. In para No.6 of that judgment, the learned trial Court has observed that the complainant himself has fully turned hostile.

6. The accused-petitioner is in custody since 17.07.2026 and looking to the fact that the complainant himself fully turned hostile during trail of the co-accused who is named in the FIR and more particularly the fact that the petitioner has not been named in the FIR, this Court without expressing any opinion on the merits or demerits of the case, deems it just and proper to enlarge the accused-petitioner on bail.

7. Accordingly, the second bail application under Section 483 BNSS is allowed and it is ordered that the accused-petitioner named above shall be enlarged on bail provided, he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- together with two sureties of Rs.50,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned trial Judge for his appearance before the Court concerned on all the dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

(GANESH RAM MEENA),J

6/Ashish Kumar