HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal 2nd Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 3527/2026

Priya W/o Hariram, R/o Firojpura Khalsa, Police Station Rajgarh, District Alwar (Rajasthan) (At Present Confined In Central Jail Alwar).

----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through PP

----Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Criminal 2nd Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 3528/2026

Soniya D/o Dholyaram, R/o Firojpura Khalsa, P.s. Rajgarh, District Alwar (Raj.) (At Present Confined In Central Jail Alwar).

----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through PP

----Respondent

S.B. Criminal 2nd Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 3529/2026

Sanjay S/o Dholyaram, R/o Firojpura Khalsa, P.s. Rajgarh, District Alwar (Raj.) (At Present Confined In Central Jail Alwar).

----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through PP

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Girish Khandelwal, Mr. Mohar Pal Meena, Mr. Kishan Lal Meena
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Amit Kumar Gupta, P.P.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GANESH RAM MEENA

Order

27/02/2026

1. These second bail applications have been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 on behalf of accused-petitioners in connection with FIR No. 442/2025 registered at Police Station Rajgarh (Alwar), District Alwar for offences punishable under Sections 317(2), 317(4), 318(2), 318(4), 112(2) and 61(2)(a) of BNS, 2023 and under Section 66D of the I.T. Act, 2008.

2. Heard.

3. Considered the submissions made by counsel for the accused-petitioners as well as learned Public Prosecutor.

4. Learned counsels for the petitioners submit that the accused-petitioners have been falsely implicated in the case. Counsel further submits that the charges framed in the present case against the accused-petitioners are the same charges being framed against two co-accused namely Anil and Mridul who have already been enlarged on bail. The case of the present accused-petitioners is in no manner distinguishable from the case of co-accused namely Anil and Mridul. It is also submitted that the accused-petitioners are in custody since 27.09.2025 and police after completion of the investigation has submitted charge-sheet in the matter and trial of the case is likely to take considerable time to conclude, therefore they may be enlarged on bail.

5. Learned Public Prosecutor opposed the second bail application.

6. Taking into consideration overall facts and circumstances of the case and more particularly the fact that co-accused namely Anil and Mridul have already been enlarged on bail and the case of the present accused-petitioners is not distinguishable from the case of co-accused Anil and Mridul in any manner therefore so as to maintain the parity, this Court, without expressing any opinion on merits or demerits of this case, deems just and proper to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

7. Accordingly, the second bail applications are allowed and it is directed that accused-petitioners shall be released on bail provided that each of them furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs. 1,00,000/- (Rupees One Lac Only)(each), together with two sureties in the sum of Rs. 50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand Only) each to the satisfaction of the Trial Court with the stipulation that they shall appear before that Court or any Court to which the matter is transferred, on all subsequent dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

(GANESH RAM MEENA),J

SHRUTI SHARMA /327,328,329 S