HC-KAR

NC: 2025:KHC-D:16697
CRL.A No. 100450 of 2022

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA, AT DHARWAD

DATED THIS THE 28TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2025

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.RACHAIAH

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 100450 OF 2022 (A-)

BETWEEN:

SHRI. JAGADISH SHIVAPPA KALLOLI,
AGE. 54 YEARS,
OCC. AGRICULTURE,
R/O. PLOT NO. 67,
GURUPRASAD NAGAR,
MANDOLI ROAD,
TILAKWADI,
BELAGAVI- 590006.

...APPELLANT

(BY SMT. GEETHA K.M., ADVOCATE)

AND:

Digitally signed by SAMREEN AYUB DESHNUR
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

BASAVESHWAR KRISHI SEVA KENDRA
KOLI GUDD,
TAL. RAIBAG,
BY ITS PARTNERS.

1. SHRI. GANGADHAR
   S/O BASAPPA KHATAVI,
   OCC. BUSINESS,
   R/O. KHATAVI TOTA,
   KOLI GUDD,
   TQ. RAIBAG,
   DIST. BELAGAVI- 591317.

2. SHRI. SHRISHALI
S/O BASAPPA KHATAVI,
AGE. 47 YEARS,
OCC. BUSINESS,
R/O. KHATAVI TOTA,
KOLI GUDD,
TQ. RAIBAG,
DIST. BELAGAVI- 591317.

3. SHRI. SUBHASH
S/O BASAPPA KHATAVI,
AGE. 45 YEARS,
OCC. BUSINESS,
R/O. KHATAVI TOTA,
KOLI GUDD,
TQ. RAIBAG,
DIST. BELAGAVI- 591317.

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. K. ANANDKUMAR, ADVOCATE)

THIS CRIMINAL APPEAL IS FILED UNDER SECTION 378 (4) OF CR.P.C., SEEKING TO CALL FOR RECORDS AND SET ASIDE THE ORDER OF ACQUITTAL DATED 11.12.2019 PASSED IN CC NO. 253/2018 ON THE FILE OF THE VI JMFC BELAGAVI AND ACCORDINGLY CONVICT RESPONDENT FOR THE OFFENCES PUNISHABLE UNDER SECTION 138 OF N.I. ACT, BY ALLOWING THE APPEAL TO MEET THE ENDS OF JUSTICE AND SUCH OTHER ORDER OR DIRECTION AS DEEMED FIT IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE BE PASSED.

THIS APPEAL, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, JUDGMENT WAS DELIVERED THEREIN AS UNDER:

ORAL JUDGMENT

(PER: THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.RACHAIAH)

1. This appeal is filed by the appellant/complainant being aggrieved by the judgment of acquittal passed by the Trial Court.

2. However, the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Celestium Financial Vs. A. Gnanasekaran Etc.***[1], held in paragraph No.10 as under:

> *"10. As already noted, the proviso to Section 372 of the CrPC was inserted in the statute book only with effect from 31.12.2009. The object and reason for such insertion must be realised and must be given its full effect to by a court. In view of the aforesaid discussion, we hold that the victim of an offence has the right to prefer an appeal under the proviso to Section 372 of the CrPC, irrespective of whether he is a complainant or not. Even if the victim of an offence is a complainant, he can still proceed under the proviso to Section 372 and need not advert*

[1] 2025 SCC Online SC 1320

to sub-section (4) of Section 378 of the Cr.PC."

3. On careful reading of the judgment of the Apex Court *supra,* it is evident that, the complainant being victim has to file an appeal against the order of acquittal before the Jurisdictional Sessions Court by invoking Section 372 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.

4. In view of the law laid down by the Apex Court, in ***Celestial*** supra, the appeal by the complainant against the order of acquittal is not maintainable before this Court. Since this Court has no jurisdiction to decide the appeal on merit, the appeal is transferred to jurisdictional Appellate Court/Sessions Court.

5. The parties shall appear before the jurisdictional Appellate Court / Sessions Court on ***13.01.2026***, without further notice of the concerned Court.

6. The Registry shall transmit entire appeal papers including appeal memo to the jurisdictional Sessions Court.

7. The learned counsel for the appellant shall have the liberty to carry out the amendment in the cause title and also the provisions thereof.

8. For statistical purpose, this appeal stands disposed off.

9. Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-
(S.RACHAIAH)
JUDGE

VMB
List No.: 4 Sl No.: 6