(2026:JHHC:19005)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

B.A. No. 5966 of 2026

Arvind Kumar @ Arvind Kumar Bharti, aged about 32 years, s/o Jagu Bharti, resident of Village-Tarwagadha, Ghanghari, Bora More, P.O. & P.S.-Hunterganj, Dist.-Chatra, State-Jharkhand … Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand ··· Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Vijay Kr. Sharma, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Satish Kr. Keshri, Addl. P.P.

Order No.02 Dated- 30.06.2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has moved this Court for grant of bail in connection with S.T. No. 151 of 2026 arising out of Hunterganj P.S. Case No.197 of 2025 registered for the offences punishable under sections 140(1)/103(1)/238/61/3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner abducted and committed murder of Sanju Bharti only because the petitioner was having illicit relationship with the wife of the Sanju Bharti. It is further submitted that the allegations against the petitioner are all false. It is next submitted that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent as has been mentioned in paragraph no.16 of the bail application. It is then submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 19.12.2025, as has been mentioned in paragraph no. 01 of the bail application. It is next submitted that the petitioner undertakes to cooperate with the trial of the case. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be admitted to bail.

The learned Addl. P.P. on the other hand vehemently opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that keeping in view the direct allegation of serious nature of committing murder of Sanju Bharti only because the petitioner was having illicit relationship with the wife of Sanju Bharti, there is every chance of

the petitioner absconding and tampering with evidence, if released on bail. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner ought not to be admitted to bail.

Considering the serious nature of allegation against the petitioner of committing murder of Sanju Bharti and the chance of the petitioner absconding and tampering with evidence, if released on bail, this Court is of the considered view that this is not a fit case where the abovenamed petitioner be admitted to bail. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the abovenamed petitioner is rejected.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

30.06.2026
Gunjan-